#### Attachment E – Notice of Intent

#### WATER QUALITY ORDER NO. 2013-0002-DWQ GENERAL PERMIT NO. CAG990005

# STATEWIDE GENERAL NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT FOR RESIDUAL AQUATIC PESTICIDE DISCHARGES TO WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES FROM ALGAE AND AQUATIC WEED CONTROL APPLICATIONS

#### I. NOTICE OF INTENT STATUS (see Instructions)

 Mark only one item
 A. ☑ New Applicator
 B. Change of Information: WDID#

 C. □
 Change of ownership or responsibility: WDID#

#### II. DISCHARGER INFORMATION

Α.	Name Reclamation District 1601						
В.	Mailing Address 2360 W. Twitchell Island Road						
C.	City <b>Rio Vista</b>	D.	County Sacramento	E.	State CA	F.	Zip <b>94571</b>
G.	Contact Person Barry Sgarrella	H.	E-mail address barry@solagra.com	Ι.	Title <b>President</b>	J.	Phone 415-720-5060

#### III. BILLING ADDRESS (Enter Information only if different from Section II above)

A. Name			
B. Mailing Address			
C. City	D. County	E. State	F. Zip
G. E-mail address	H. Title	I. Phone	

#### **IV. RECEIVING WATER INFORMATION**

Α.	Algaecide and aquatic herbicides are used to treat (check all that apply):	-
1.	Canals, ditches, or other constructed conveyance facilities owned and controlled by Discharger.	
	Name of the conveyance system: District Conveyances	
2.	Canals, ditches, or other constructed conveyance facilities owned and controlled by an entity other	
	than the Discharger.	
	Owner's name:	
	Name of the conveyance system:	
3.	Directly to river, lake, creek, stream, bay, ocean, etc.	
	Name of water body: <u>Sevenmile Slough</u>	
В.	Regional Water Quality Control Board(s) where treatment areas are located	
	(REGION 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, or 9): <b>Region 5</b>	
	(List all regions where algaecide and aquatic herbicide application is proposed.)	

#### V. ALGAECIDE AND AQUATIC HERBICIDE APPLICATION INFORMATION

B. Algaecide and Aquatic Herbicide Used: List Name and Active ingredients         2,4-D (Weedar ® 64)       Penoxsulam (Galleon® SC)         Diquat Dibromide (Reward®)       Peroxyacetic Acid (GreenClean Liquid 5.0®)         Endothall (Cascade®)       Sodium Carbonate Peroxyhydrate (PAK®27)							
Flumioxazin (Clipper®) Fluridone (Sonar Genesis®) Glyphosate (Roundup Custom®) Hydrogen Peroxide/Dioxide (GreenClean Liquid 5.0®) Imazamox (Clearcast®) Imazapyr (Habitat®)	Triclopyr (Renovate®)						
C. Period of Application: Start Date: <u>January 1</u> Er	nd date: December 31, for the life of the permit						

D. Types of Adjuvants Used: "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants such as Liberate® and Competitor®

#### VI. AQUATIC PESTICIDE APPLICATION PLAN

Has an Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan been prepared and is the applicator familiar with its contents?

If not, when will it be prepared?

#### VII. NOTIFICATION

Have potentially affected public and governmental agencies been notified?

🗆 Yes

☑ No

VIII. FEE

Have you included payment	of the filing	fee (for first-	time enrollees	only) with tl	nis submittal?
✓ YES		🗋 NA		• •	

#### GENERAL NPDES PERMIT FOR RESIDUAL AQUATIC PESTICIDE DISCHARGES FROM ALGAE AND AQUATIC WEED CONTROL APPLICATIONS

#### **IX. CERTIFICATION**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction and supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment. Additionally, I certify that the provisions of the General Permit, including developing and implementing a monitoring program, will be complied with."

A. Printed Name: Barry Sgarrella

B. Signature: Barry

Date: 3/2/2023

**C. Title:** President of the Board

### XI FOR STATE WATER BOARD STAFE USE ONLY

Sgamella

WDID:	Date NOI Received:	Date NOI Processed:			
Case Handler's Initial:	Fee Amount Received: \$	Check #:			
Lyris List Notification of Posting of APAP	Date	Confirmation Sent			

# **Reclamation District 1601**

**Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan (APAP)** 

For the

Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control Applications Water Quality Order No. 2013-0002-DWQ General Permit # CAG990005

> Prepared for: Reclamation District No 1601 306 Second Street Isleton, CA 95641 Contact: Barry Sgarrella (415) 720-5060

Prepared by: Blankinship & Associates, Inc. 1615 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite A Davis, CA 95616 Contact: Stephen Burkholder (530) 757-0941

Submitted to: State Water Resources Control Board Division of Water Quality 1001 I Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Contact: Gurgagn Chand (916) 341-5780

# Certification

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direct supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment".

Signed and Agreed:

DocuSigned by: Barry Sgamella

Barry Sgarrefia President of the Board Reclamation District 1601

—DocuSigned by:

Stephen Burkholder

Stepអមាទទេស Senior Biologist Pest Control Adviser # 153644 Blankinship & Associates, Inc.

DocuSigned by Michael Blankinship

ম্পেন্টিশির্ট্রশিষ্ট: শ্রিমিkinship Licensed Professional Engineer (Civil) #C64112 Pest Control Adviser # 75890 Blankinship & Associates, Inc.

# Limitations

The services used to prepare this document were performed consistent with our agreement with Reclamation District 1601 and were rendered in a manner consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices using the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other professional consultants under similar circumstances at the same time the services were performed. No warranty, express or implied, is included. This document is solely for the use of our client unless otherwise noted. Any use or reliance on this document by a third party is at such party's sole risk and such party agrees to indemnify and defend Blankinship & Associates.

# **Reclamation District 1601**

# **Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan**

# Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control Applications Water Quality Order No. 2013-0002-DWQ

### General Permit # CAG990005

# **Table of Contents**

Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan	1
Element 1: Description of the Water System	7
Element 2: Description of the Treatment Area	7
Element 3: Description of Weeds and Algae	7
Element 4: Algaecides and Aquatic Herbicides Used, Known Degradation Byproducts, Application	า
Methods and Adjuvants	8
Element 5: Discussion of Factors Influencing Herbicide Use	9
Element 6: Gates and Control Structures	11
Element 7: State Implementation Policy (SIP) Section 5.3 Exception	13
Element 8: Description of Monitoring Program	13
8.1 Data Collection	13
8.2 Monitoring Locations and Frequency	18
8.3 Sample Collection	19
8.4 Field Measurements	19
8.5 Sample Preservation and Transportation	20
8.6 Sample Analysis	20
8.7 Reporting Procedures	21
8.8 Sampling Methods and Guidelines	23
8.9 Field Sampling Operations	24
8.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC)	26
Element 9: Procedures to Prevent Sample Contamination	30
Element 10: Description of BMPs	30
10.1 Measures to Prevent Spills and Spill Containment in the Event of a Spill	30
10.2 Measures to Ensure Appropriate Use Rate	31
10.3 The Discharger's plan in educating its staff and herbicide applicators on how to avoid any	
potential adverse effects from the herbicide applications	32
10.4 Application Coordination to Minimize Impact of Application on Water Users	32
10.5 Description of Measures to Prevent Fish Kills	32
Element 11: Examination of Possible Alternatives	33
11.1 Evaluation of Other Management Options	33
11.2 Using the Least Intrusive Method of Aquatic Herbicide Application	37
11.3 Applying a decision matrix concept to the choice of the most appropriate formulation	37
References	38

<b>List of Tables</b> Table 1 Table 2	Algaecides and Aquatic Herbicides That May Be Used Required Sample Analysis
List of Figures	
Figure 1	Reclamation District 1601 Project Location Map
Figure 2	Reclamation District 1601 Project Detail Map
Figure 3	Aquatic Herbicide Application Log
Figure 4	Aquatic Herbicide Field Monitoring & Sampling Form

#### List of Abbreviations

	Aquatic Harbicida Application Log
	Aquatic Replication Log
APAP	Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan
BG	Background Monitoring
BIMIPS	Best Management Practices
°C	Degrees Celsius
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
COC	Chain of Custody
CTR	California Toxics Rule
CVP	Central Valley Project
District	Reclamation District 1601
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DPR	California Department of Pesticide Regulation
DWR	Department of Water Resources
Event	Event Monitoring
FB	Field Blank
FD	Field Duplicate
ft/sec	Feet per second
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
MB	Method Blank
MFWC	Meridian Farms Water Company
MRP	Monitoring and Reporting Program
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicates
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NOI	Notice of Intent
OSHA	California Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PCA	Pest Control Adviser
Permit	The Statewide General NPDES Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges
	to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control
	Annlications
Policy	State Water Board Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland
Toncy	Surface Waters Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California
Post	Post-event Monitoring
	Personal Protective Equipment
	Qualified Applicator Cortificato
	Qualified Applicator Liconso
	Qualified Applicator Literise
	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
%R	Percent Recovery
RD 1601	Reclamation District 1601
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
RWL	Receiving Water Limitation
RWMI	Receiving Water Monitoring Trigger
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SIP	State Implementation Policy
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WDID	Waste Discharge Identification

# Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan

In March 2001, the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) prepared Water Quality Order # 2001-12-DWQ which created Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit # CAG990003 for the discharges of aquatic herbicides to waters of the United States. The purpose of Order # 2001-12-DWQ was to minimize the areal extent and duration of adverse impacts to beneficial uses of water bodies treated with aquatic herbicides. The purpose of the general permit was to substantially reduce the potential discharger liability incurred for releasing water treated with aquatic herbicides into waters of the United States. The general permit expired January 31, 2004.

On May 20, 2004 the SWRCB adopted the statewide general NPDES Permit for Discharge of Aquatic Pesticides for Aquatic Weed Control in Waters of the United States #CAG 990005. Dischargers were required to have the general permit to perform aquatic herbicide applications. In May 2009, the general permit expired, but was administratively continued until November 30, 2013.

The Statewide General NPDES Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control Applications (herein referred to as the "Permit") was adopted on March 5, 2013 and became available on December 1, 2013 (SWRCB 2013). The Permit expired November 30, 2018, and it has been admiratively continued until a new permit is adopted. As such, the Permit is still active and enforceable. The Permit requires compliance with the following:

- The Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries in California, also known as the State Implementation Policy, or SIP (SWRCB 2005)
- The California Toxics Rule (CTR)
- Applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives (RWQCB 2019)
- Permit-defined Receiving Water Limitations (RWLs) or Receiving Water Monitoring Triggers (RWMTs)

Coverage under the Permit is available to single dischargers and potentially to regional dischargers for releases of potential and/or actual pollutants to waters of the United States. Dischargers eligible for coverage under the Permit are public entities that conduct resource or pest management control measures, including local, state, and federal agencies responsible for control of algae, aquatic weeds, and other organisms that adversely impact operation and use of drinking water reservoirs, water conveyance facilities, irrigation canals, flood control channels, detention basins and/or natural water bodies.

The Permit does not cover indirect or non-point source discharges, whether from agricultural or other applications of pesticides to land, that may be conveyed in storm water or irrigation runoff. The Permit only covers algaecides and aquatic herbicides that are applied according to label directions and that are registered for use on aquatic sites by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR).

Reclamation District 1601 (referred to herein as "District") was formed in 1914 via the combination of Reclamation Districts 117 and 559. The District is located in Sacramento County and covers a service area of approximately 3,600 acres. Refer to **Figure 1**. The District's service area is the entirety of Twitchell Island, which lies to the southeast of the city of Rio Vista. The District is bounded by the San Joaquin River on the south, Threemile Slough on the west, and Sevenmile Slough on the north and east. The District's contract with the Department of Water Resources (DWR) supplies the area with irrigation water. Irrigated agriculture dominates the area with crops typically consisting of rice, corn, and

safflower. Other uses of parcels in the District include irrigated pastureland for grazing, irrigated blocks of cattails on DWR property for restoration and production of peat, and natural gas extraction.

The District maintains and operates a system of approximately 15 miles of conveyances. See **Figure 2**. As Twitchell Island lies at a lower elevation than the water bodies surrounding it, water in the District's system naturally enters the canals via a series of 24 siphons from the San Joaquin River, Sevenmile Slough, or Threemile Slough. Additional water may enter the District conveyance system from Threemile Slough after passing through a sand filter designed into the levee. Water then flows through the island where it is used for irrigation or flows through canals to the south side of Twitchell Island where it is pumped back into the San Joaquin River via three discharge pumps at the tail end of the system. Seepage and toe ditches, which surround Twitchell Island, deliver water to the main irrigation supply canals and help to recirculate excess water through the system. The District owns and operates the pumping facilities that return water into the San Joaquin River, the seepage and toe ditches, and the levees surrounding the island. The main conveyances the District operates are the C-1, C-2, C-3, and C-4 canals, as well as the D-1 and D-2 drainage ditches.

Efficient irrigation and drainage conveyance is critical to the functions of the District. However, the District's conveyances are prone to infestation by a number of aquatic weed species including but not limited to water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), waterprimrose (*Ludwigia* spp.), pale smartweed (*Polygonum lapathifolium*), South American spongeplant (*Limnobium laevigatum*), giant reed (*Arundo donax*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), cattails (*Typha* spp.), common duckweed (*Lemna minor*), and mosquitofern (*Azolla* spp.). The presence of these aquatic weeds in District facilities can adversely impact water flow and reduce water capacity and quality, clog siphons and pumps, and block screens, thus preventing delivery of irrigation water and/or drainage water in the system. As such, the District intends obtain coverage to apply aquatic herbicides to its conveyances using the Permit to control nuisance aquatic vegetation.

Using Integrated Pest Management (IPM) techniques, the district intends to apply aquatic herbicides identified in the Notices of Intent to Comply (NOI) submitted to the SWRCB. For the purposes of applying to, and complying with, the Permit, the District has created this Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan (APAP).

This APAP is a comprehensive plan developed by Blankinship & Associates in conjunction with the District that describes the project, the need for the project, what will be done to reduce water quality impacts, and how those impacts will be monitored. Specifically, this APAP contains the following eleven (11) elements.

- 1. Description of the water system to which algaecides and aquatic herbicides are being applied;
- 2. Description of the treatment area in the water system;
- 3. Description of types of weed(s) and algae that are being controlled and why;
- 4. Algaecide and aquatic herbicide products or types of algaecides and aquatic herbicides expected to be used and if known their degradation byproducts, the method in which they are applied, and if applicable, the adjuvants and surfactants used;
- 5. Discussion of the factors influencing the decision to select algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications for algae and weed control;

- 6. If applicable, list the gates or control structures to be used to control the extent of receiving waters potentially affected by algaecide and aquatic herbicide application and provide an inspection schedule of those gates or control structures to ensure they are not leaking;
- 7. If the Discharger has been granted a short-term or seasonal exception under State Water Board Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (Policy) Section 5.3 from meeting acrolein and copper receiving water limitations, provide the beginning and ending dates of the exception period, and justification for the needed time for the exception. If algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications occur outside of the exception period, describe plans to ensure that receiving water criteria are not exceeded because the Dischargers must comply with the acrolein and copper receiving water limitations for all applications that occur outside of the exception period;
- 8. Description of monitoring program;
- 9. Description of procedures used to prevent sample contamination from persons, equipment, and vehicles associated with algaecide and aquatic herbicide application;
- 10. Description of the Best Management Practices (BMPs) to be implemented. The BMPs shall include, at the minimum:
  - 10.1. Measures to prevent algaecide and aquatic herbicide spill and for spill containment during the event of a spill;
  - 10.2. Measures to ensure that only an appropriate rate of application consistent with product label requirements is applied for the targeted weeds or algae;
  - 10.3. The Discharger's plan in educating its staff and algaecide and aquatic herbicide applicators on how to avoid any potential adverse effects from the algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications;
  - 10.4. Discussion on planning and coordination with nearby farmers and agencies with water rights diversion so that beneficial uses of the water (irrigation, drinking water supply, domestic stock water, etc.) are not impacted during the treatment period; and
  - 10.5. A description of measures that will be used for preventing fish kill when algaecides and aquatic herbicides will be used for algae and aquatic weed controls.
- 11. Examination of Possible Alternatives. Dischargers should examine the alternatives to algaecide and aquatic herbicide use to reduce the need for applying algaecides and herbicides. Such methods include:
  - 11.1. Evaluating the following management options, in which the impact to water quality, impact to non-target organisms including plants, algaecide and aquatic herbicide resistance, feasibility, and cost effectiveness should be considered:
    - 11.1.1. No action;
    - 11.1.2. Prevention;
    - 11.1.3. Mechanical or physical methods;
    - 11.1.4. Cultural methods;

- 11.1.5. Biological control agents; and
- 11.1.6. Algaecides and aquatic herbicides;

If there are no alternatives to algaecides and aquatic herbicides, Dischargers shall use the minimum amount of algaecides and aquatic herbicides that is necessary to have an effective control program and is consistent with the algaecide and aquatic herbicide product label requirements.

- 11.2. Using the least intrusive method of algaecide and aquatic herbicide application; and
- 11.3. Applying a decision matrix concept to the choice of the most appropriate formulation.

This APAP is organized to address the aforementioned 1 through 11 elements.





# Reclamation District 1601 Project Detail Map

Twitchell Island, California

BLANKINSHIP & ASSOCIATES, Inc. Ag & Environmental Science & Engineering Project RD 1601 APAP Date 9 Feb. 2023

# **Element 1: Description of the Water System**

The District is responsible for operation and maintenance of earthen irrigation canals (e.g., C-1), drainage ditches (e.g., D-1), and toe ditches. The District is also responsible for the maintenance of Sevenmile Slough, a large non-navigable water body that borders the north levee of Twitchell Island, west of the Owl Harbor intake siphon.

The District's interior conveyances terminate at pumping facilities, where excess water can be discharged out of the District to the San Joaquin River.

The District supplies irrigation water from its system of 24 siphons that surround the island. Twitchell Island, except for the surrounding levees, is at an elevation below that of the surrounding sloughs and San Joaquin River, therefore the District's water system is gravity operated and naturally draws in source water from the three surrounding water bodies: Threemile Slough, Sevenmile Slough, and the San Joaquin River. Its irrigation supply system consists of approximately 30,500 linear feet of earthen main canals and 47,500 linear feet of earthen drainage canals. The District is also responsible for the maintenance of various unmapped toe canals dispersed throughout the island.

Sevenmile Slough, which borders the northern side of Twitchell Island, is approximately 74 surface acres and provides the source water for 11 of the District's siphons. Water enters Sevenmile Slough through two 48-inch intake siphons from the San Joaquin River near Owl Harbor, on the northeast side of the island. Excess water in Sevenmile Slough can be pumped out using a lift pump located on the northwest side of the island and discharged into Threemile Slough as needed.

See Figure 1 and Figure 2.

# **Element 2: Description of the Treatment Area**

The District may apply algaecides and/or aquatic herbicides to any of the facilities described in Element 1 if aquatic weed or algae treatment thresholds are met.

# Element 3: Description of Weeds and Algae

Weeds found throughout the District include emergent, floating, and submersed aquatic vegetation and algae. The District's conveyances are prone to infestation by algae, emergent, floating and submersed aquatic weeds including, but not limited to, water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), waterprimrose (*Ludwigia* spp.), pale smartweed (*Polygonum lapathifolium*), South American spongeplant (*Limnobium laevigatum*), giant reed (*Arundo donax*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), cattails (*Typha* spp.), willows (*Salix* spp.), common duckweed (*Lemna minor*), mosquitofern (*Azolla* spp.), Brazilian egeria (*Egeria densa*), common elodea (*Elodea canadensis*), ribbon weed (*Vallisneria australis*), Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), and pondweeds (*Potamogeton* spp.).

Efficient conveyance of irrigation and drainage water is critical to the irrigation supply, water reuse and flood management functions of the District. The presence of these weeds and others in District facilities can adversely impact water flow, reduce channel capacity, clog culverts and pumps, and block screens, thus preventing or encumbering conveyance of irrigation or discharge of drainage water. Dense mats of aquatic vegetation like waterprimrose or water hyacinth can constrain or completely prevent irrigation

water conveyance, or clog lift pumps from being able remove water from District conveyances, thereby creating a hazard for the flood-prone island.

# Element 4: Algaecides and Aquatic Herbicides Used, Known Degradation Byproducts, Application Methods and Adjuvants

**Table 1** summarizes the algaecides and aquatic herbicides that may be used by the District.

Herbicide	Application Method(s)	Adjuvant	Degradation Byproducts
2,4-D	Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer	Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants	1,2,4-benzenetriol, 2,4-DCP, 2,4-DCA, chlorohydroquinone (CHQ), 4-chlorophenol, volatile organics, bound residues, and carbon dioxide <sup>1</sup>
Diquat Dibromide	Submersed boom, handgun, or boom sprayer	Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants	No major degradates <sup>2</sup>
Endothall	Submersed boom/injection, handgun or boom sprayer, or spreader (granules)	Not Applicable	Endothall acid, potassium ions, coco-alkylamine <sup>3</sup>
Flumioxazin	Submersed boom/injection, handgun or boom sprayer.	Various "Aquatic"- labeled adjuvants	TPHA, A-TPA, 482-HA, 482- PHO, PHO-HA, APF, and SAT- 482-HA-2 <sup>4</sup>
Fluridone	Backpack sprayer, handgun, submersed boom, spreader, or boom sprayer	Not Applicable	N-methtyl Formamide⁵
Glyphosate	Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer	Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants	Aminomethyl phosphonic acid (AMPA), carbon dioxide <sup>6</sup>
Hydrogen Peroxide <sup>7</sup>	Handgun, boom sprayer, injection	Not Applicable	Water and oxygen
Imazamox	Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer	Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants	Nicotinic acid and imazamox parent chemicals <sup>8</sup>
lmazapyr	Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer	Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants	Pyridine hydroxy-dicarboxylic acid, pyridine dicarboxylic acid, and nicotinic acid <sup>9</sup>
Penoxsulam	Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer	Not Applicable	11 major and 2 minor degradates <sup>10</sup>
Peroxyacetic Acid	Handgun, boom sprayer, injection	Not Applicable	Oxygen, carbon dioxide, water, and acetic acid <sup>11</sup>
Sodium Carbonate Peroxyhydrate	Handgun, boom sprayer (liquid), or spreader (granules)	Not Applicable	Sodium carbonate, water, and oxygen <sup>12</sup>
Triclopyr	Backpack sprayer, handgun, or boom sprayer	Various "Aquatic" labeled adjuvants	3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol (TCP) <sup>13</sup>

Table 1: Algaecides and Aquatic Herbicides That May Be Used

- <sup>1</sup> USEPA 2005-A
- <sup>2</sup> USEPA 1995
- <sup>3</sup> Endothall-containing herbicides are formulated as either endothall dipotassium salt or N,Ndimethylalkylamine salt. Both formulations produce endothall acid as a degradation byproduct along with corresponding cation components (potassium ions and coco-alkylamine for the dipotassium salt and N,N-dimethylalkylamine formulations, respectively). (USEPA 2005-B)
- <sup>4</sup> Major flumioxazin degradants include: 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalic acid (THPA); 3,4,5,6-Tetrahydrophthalic acid anhydride (A-TPA); 7-Fluoro-6[(2-carboxy-cyclohexenoyl)amino]-4-(2-propynyl)-1,4-benzoxazin-3(2H)-one (482-HA); N-(2-propynyl)-4-[4-carboxy-3-fluoro-2-(3,4,5,6tetrahydrophthalimido)-2-butenylidene]azetidine-2-one (482-PHO); N-(2-propynyl)-4-[4-carboxy-3fluoro-2-(2-carboxy-1-cyclohexencarbonylamino)-2-butenylidene]azetidine-2-one (PHO-HA); 6-Amino-7-fluoro-4-(2-propynyl)-1,4,-benzoxazin-3(2H)-one (APF); and (1S,2S)-2-{[7-fluoro-3-oxo-4-(prop-2-yn-1yl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl]carbamoyl} cyclohexanecarboxylic acid (SAT-482-HA-2) (EFSA et al. 2020).
- <sup>5</sup> NMF was identified as the major degradate of fluridone when applied to water bodies (USEPA 2004). Minor degradates may include: 1-methyl-3-(4-hydroxyphenol)-5-[3-trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-4[1H]-pyridone and 1,4-dihydro-1-methyl-4-oxo-5-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-pyridine (West *et al. 1983* as cited in McLaren/Hart, 1995), and benzaldehyde, 3-(trifluoromethyl)-benzaldehyde, benzoic acid and 3-(trifluoromethyl)- benzoic acid (Saunders and Mosier, 1983 as cited in McLaren/Hart, 1995).
- <sup>6</sup> USEPA 1993-A
- <sup>7</sup> Hydrogen Dioxide is a synonym for Hydrogen Peroxide and shares the same CAS number (CAS No. 772-84-1).
- <sup>8</sup> The major degradate in the environment is CL 354,825 (Nicotinic acid, 5-hydrody-6-(4-isopropyl-4-methyl-5-oxo-2-imidazolin-2-yl). Other metabolites include AC 312,622 (demethylated parent with intact ring structures and two carboxylic acid groups) and AC 354,825 (demethylated, decarboxylated parent with intact rings and one carboxylic acid group) (USEPA 2008).
- <sup>9</sup> USEPA 2006
- <sup>10</sup> Major degradates include: BSA, 2-amino-TP, TPSA, BSTCA, BSTCA methyl, 2-amino-TCA, 5-OH-penoxsulam, SFA, sulfonamide, 5,8-di-OH and 5-OH 2 amino TP. Minor degradates include: di-FESA and BST. (USEPA 2007).
- <sup>11</sup> USEPA 1993-B
- <sup>12</sup> USEPA 2002
- <sup>13</sup> USEPA 1998

All herbicide applications are made in accordance with the product label. Applications may be made using the methods described in **Table 1** from truck- or trailer-mounted sprayers, boats, or aerial application with drone. For example, an application of glyphosate to water primrose the C-1 canal will be made with a handgun sprayer calibrated to deliver the correct amount of herbicide per acre treated to achieve the desired concentration on the foliage of the target plant.

When applicable, aquatic-labeled adjuvants may be used to enhance the efficacy of an herbicide.

# **Element 5: Discussion of Factors Influencing Herbicide Use**

Treatment of aquatic vegetation and algae by the District is determined by the application of IPM. One of the primary operational goals of the IPM program is to establish a general and reasonable set of control measures that not only aid in managing aquatic vegetation populations, but also address public

health & safety, natural resources, economic, legal, and aesthetic requirements. An action threshold level is the point at which action should be taken to control aquatic vegetation before the conveyance is significantly impacted; moreover, established action threshold levels may change based on District needs or grower expectations.

A central feature of IPM is to determine when control action is absolutely necessary and when it is not. Examples of when or how thresholds are met are when vegetation impedes flow, decreases capacity, or creates a nuisance. Typical problems associated with aquatic vegetation or algae are adverse impacts to water quality or a reduction in the District's conveyance capacity, water recycling and delivery capabilities. If vegetation or algae equals or exceeds a threshold, a control method is implemented. Control methods may include mechanical, cultural controls, biological, and/or chemical, consistent with the District's IPM techniques. Algaecide and aquatic herbicide use may or may not be employed as a last resort control method and is considered a critical part of the IPM program. For some aquatic weed varieties, herbicides offer the most effective (i.e., long-lasting or least labor intensive) control; sometimes, they may be the only control available.

Algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications may also be made prior to threshold exceedance. For example, based on predicted growth rate and density, historical algae and aquatic weed trends, weather, water flow, and experience, aquatic weeds or algae may reasonably be predicted to cause future problems. For example, initiating application early in the season to control water hyacinth as it begins growing help to prevent issues with water flow later in the season. Accordingly, they may be treated soon after emergence or when appropriate based on the algaecide and aquatic herbicide to be used. Even though algae and aquatic weeds may not be an immediate problem at this phase, treating them before they mature reduces the total amount of algaecide and aquatic herbicide needed because the younger aquatic weeds are more susceptible and there is less plant mass to target. Furthermore, treating aquatic weeds and algae within the ideal time frame of its growth cycle allows the selected control measures will be most effective. Managing aquatic weed populations before they produce seeds, tubers or other reproductive organs is an important step in a comprehensive aquatic weed control program. Generally, treating aquatic weeds earlier in the growth cycle results in fewer controls needed and less total herbicide used. Selection of appropriate algaecide and aquatic herbicide(s) and rate of application is done based on the identification of the algae and aquatic weed, its growth stage and the appearance of that algae or aquatic weed on the product label.

The selection of and decision to use an algaecide or aquatic herbicide is based on the recommendation of a DPR-licensed Pest Control Adviser (PCA). The PCA considers a variety of control options that may include mechanical and/or cultural techniques that alone or in combination with algaecide or aquatic herbicide use are the most efficacious and protective of the environment.

Evaluating alternative control techniques is part of the District's IPM approach; therefore, an alternative treatment may be selected as part of a test program. Alternative control techniques include mechanical removal (i.e., manually, or with an excavator), grazing and/or native species establishment. A more detailed description of each of these is presented in **Element 10** and **Element 11** of this document.

In general, alternative control techniques are more expensive, labor intensive, not as effective, may cause temporary water quality degradation, and/or further spread algae or aquatic weeds. The equipment and labor required to perform these techniques is not always readily available. This may cause delays in removal leading to increased plant material to remove and increased cost.

# **Element 6: Gates and Control Structures**

The District operates and maintains numerous water control structures throughout its facilities. As applicable or necessary, District staff will shut off pumps and/or close gates, valves or other structures during an algaecide or aquatic herbicide application to control the extent, if any, that receiving waters will be affected by residual algaecides or aquatic herbicides.

To evaluate the presence of leaks, control structures within the treatment area will be inspected prior to and during the application. **Figure 3**, Aquatic Herbicide Application Log (AHAL) is the form used to document conditions during application of algaecides and/or aquatic herbicides.

# Element 7: State Implementation Policy (SIP) Section 5.3 Exception

The Permit allows the District to apply for a SIP Section 5.3 Exception for a short-term or seasonal exception to the dissolved copper or acrolein RWL. If an exception is granted, this section will be amended to describe the exception period as outlined in the required California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documentation.

The District does not currently have a SIP exception nor does it plan to use acrolein- or coppercontaining materials.

# Fig. 3 Aquatic Herbicide Application Log

For Client Use Only

© 2015 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

\*\*IMPORTANT\*\* To Be Completed <u>EVERY TIME</u> an Aquatic Herbicide Application is Made

Арр. Арр.	Start: Time End: Time		Date		
Application Location					
Agency		Pe	rsonnel		
Air Temperature (F°)	_ Wind Spee	d (mph)	_ Target Weeds	S	
Treatment Area Siz	e (choose one):				
Acres	Linea	Feet			
Herbicide #1 Used	Rate/Ta	rget Conc.	Units	Total Amt. Applied	Units
Herbicide #2 Used	Rate/Ta	rget Conc.	Units	Total Amt. Applied	Units
Adjuvant #1 Used	Rate/Ta	rget Conc.	Units	Total Amt. Applied	Units
Adjuvant #2 Used	Rate/Ta	rget Conc.	Units	Total Amt. Applied	Units
Method of Application		Application Ma	ade (Circle One) With	water flow / <b>Against</b> water flov	v / Not Applicable
Waterbody Type (Circle One) li	ned canal / unlined	canal / creek / drain	/ ditch / basin / reserv	oir / lake / pond or list Other:	
Water Flow (ft/sec. cfs)		Water Depth (	(ft)	Water Temperature (F°)	
Percent Weed Cover		Water Sheen	(Circle One) ves / no	)	
Water Color (Circle One) none	/ blue / green / b	prown	Water Cla	rity (Circle One) poor / fair /	good
Please enter any other informati	on regarding the ap	plication in the space	ce provided below:		

I (sign name)

certify that the APAP has been followed.

# **Element 8: Description of Monitoring Program**

Attachment C of the Permit presents the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP). The MRP addresses two key questions:

Question No. 1: Does the residual algaecides and aquatic herbicides discharge cause an exceedance of the receiving water limitations?

Question No. 2: Does the discharge of residual algaecides and aquatic herbicides, including active ingredients, inert ingredients, and degradation byproducts, in any combination cause or contribute to an exceedance of the "no toxics in toxic amount" narrative toxicity objective?

Attachment C of the Permit provides MRP guidelines that the District will use to meet the aforementioned goals.

#### 8.1 Data Collection

Visual monitoring will be performed for all algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications at all sites and be recorded by qualified personnel.

**Figure 3** (Aquatic Herbicide Application Log) or its equivalent, **Figure 4** (Aquatic Herbicide Field Monitoring & Sampling Form) will be used.

#### 8.2 Monitoring Locations and Frequency

Water quality sampling for glyphosate will be conducted for one application event from each environmental setting, flowing water and non-flowing water, per year if applications are made. For application of all other algaecides and aquatic herbicide active ingredients listed on the Permit, the District will collect samples from a minimum of six application events for each active ingredient in each environmental setting per year. If there are less than six application events in a year for an active ingredient, the District will collect samples for each application event in each environmental setting.

Water quality sampling is required for applications of products that contain sodium carbonate peroxyhydrate, peroxyacetic acid, and/or hydrogen peroxide, however, no chemical analysis for these active ingredients is required by the Permit. If applications sodium carbonate peroxyhydrate, peroxyacetic acid, and/or hydrogen peroxide are made, the District will collect samples consistent with permit requirements and analyze them for the field parameters of pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, turbidity, and conductance.

If the results from six consecutive sampling events show concentrations that are less than the applicable RWLs or RWMTs in an environmental setting, the District will reduce the sampling frequency for that active ingredient to one per year in that environmental setting. If the annual sampling shows exceedances of the applicable RWL/RWMT, the District will be required to return to sampling six applications the next year, and until sampling may be reduced again.

Sites will be chosen to represent the variations in treatment that occur, including algaecide or aquatic herbicide use, hydrology, and environmental setting, conveyance or impoundment type, seasonal, and regional variations. The exact location(s) of sample site(s) will be determined after site scouting and a decision to make an aquatic herbicide application are made per the District's IPM approach. **Figure 4** is the form used to document sampling.

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

#### \*\*IMPORTANT\*\* Attach Relevant Aquatic Herbicide Application Log (AHAL) Form

# **SAMPLE #1:** Background Monitoring (Background)

Collect upstream of or just outside of treatment area at time of treatment, or within in treatment area within 24 hours of the treatment starting.

Section 1: Herbicide Application Information			Section 2: Monitoring Information			
Agency:			Monitoring	Date: Time:		
System Treated:			Sampler Na	ame:		
Application Start Date:			Monitoring	Location		
			GPS Coord	Jinates:		
Herbicides Applied:			Sketch monit reference (re	oring location or describe location with identifiable points of quired if GPS coordinates not provided).		
Surfactants Used:						
Target Vegetation:				S. Q.		
Environmental Setting (circle one): Flowing	g   S	tatic	S			
		$\mathcal{C}$		.:.0`		
Section 3: Water Quality Characteris	tics	) <u> </u>	R	<i>∧</i> `		
			), (C			
DO (mg/L):	-0 (µ0/	(GIN)	.01	pri		
Temperature (°C):	Furbidit	y (NTI	J):	Water speed (ft/sec)*:		
* Water speed only required for flowing wat	er	. <	)			
	(	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$				
Section 4: Site Observations (Refer to	o Defir	nitions	Sheet and n	nark a response for each field)		
		No		YES, THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY		
Adverse Incident	IN/A	NU	UNKNOWN	AFFECTED. DESCRIBE.		
Floating Material						
Suspended Material						
Bottom Deposits						
Tastes and Odors						
Water Coloration						
Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings						
Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths						

# Figure 4: Aquatic Herbicide Field Monitoring & Sampling Form

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

# SAMPLE #2: Event Monitoring (Event)

Collect just outside of the treatment area immediately after the application of herbicide(s), but after sufficient time has elapsed such that treated water would have exited the treatment area. The timing for the collection of this sample will be a site-specific estimation.

#### Is water leaving the treatment area?

□ Yes

□ No

If no water is leaving the treatment area, complete sections 1, 2, and 4, skip section 3, and do not collect a sample.

Section 1: Herbicide Application Info	rmatio	on	Section 2	2: Monitoring Information			
Agency:			Monitoring	Date: Time:			
System Treated:			Sampler N	lame:			
Application Start Date:			Monitoring	Location:			
Herbieides Applied:			GPS Coor	GPS Coordinates:			
Surfactanta Llandu			reference (r	required if GPS coordinates not provided).			
			S				
Target Vegetation:		$ \rightarrow $					
Environmental Setting (circle one): Flowing	s I Si	tatic	$\langle \mathbf{R} \rangle$				
	<u>)</u>	2					
Section 3: Water Quality Characterist	tics	X	.0//				
DO (mg/L):	EC (µS/	/cm): _	<u>,)</u> r	pH:			
Temperature (°C):	Furbidit	y (NTU	):	Water speed (ft/sec)*:			
* Water speed only required for flowing wat	er 🔿	<b>S</b>					
Section 4: Site Observations (Refer t	o Defii	nitions	Sheet and r	mark a response for each field)			
				YES. THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY			
	N/A	No	UNKNOWN	AFFECTED. DESCRIBE.			
Adverse Incident							
Floating Material							
Settleable Substances							
Suspended Material							
Bottom Deposits							
Tastes and Odors							
Water Coloration							
Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings							
Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths							
Aquatic Community Degradation							

# Figure 4: Aquatic Herbicide Field Monitoring & Sampling Form

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

\*\*For each active ingredient, one Field Duplicate and one Field Blank must be collected per environmental setting (moving water vs static water) per year\*\*

# **SAMPLE #3:** Post-Event Monitoring (Post)

Collect from inside treatment area within 7 days of application, or when treatment is deemed complete.

Section 1: Herbicide Application Inform	nation	Section 2: Monitoring Information				
Agency:		Monitoring	Date: Time:			
System Treated:		Sampler Na	ame:			
Application Start Date:		Monitoring	Location:			
		GPS Coord	GPS Coordinates:			
Herbicides Applied:		Sketch monitoring location or describe location with identifiable points of reference (required if GPS coordinates not provided).				
Surfactants Used:						
Target Vegetation:			S Q			
Environmental Setting (circle one): Flowing	Static	S				
ς, , ς	2		.:.0			
Section 3: Water Quality Characteristic		R A				
		$\mathcal{O}$				
DO (mg/L):	5 (µ3/611).		μπ			
Temperature (°C): To	rbidity (NT	u):	Water speed (ft/sec)*:			
* Water speed only required for flowing water		)				
Section 4: Site Observations (Refer to	Definitions	s Sneet and n				
	N/A No	UNKNOWN	YES, THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED. DESCRIBE.			
Adverse Incident						
Floating Material						
Settleable Substances						
Suspended Material						
Bottom Deposits						
Tastes and Odors						
Water Coloration						
Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings						
Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths						
Aquatic Community Degradation						

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

#### \*\* For each active ingredient, one Field Duplicate (FD) and one Field Blank (FB) must be collected per environmental setting (moving water vs static water) per year\*\*

# Field Duplicate (FD) Sample:

Collect at same location and time as the monitoring sample (if possible collect with event or postevent sample) and using the same sampling technique.

Section 1: Herbicide Application Information			Section 2: Monitoring Information			
Agency:			Monitoring	Date:	_ Time:	
System Treated:			Sampler Na	ame:		
Application Start Date:			Monitoring	Location: <u>*See (circle</u>	one): BG / Event / Post	
Herbieides Applied:			GPS Coord	linates: <u>*See (circle c</u> toring location of describe loc	ne): BG / Event / Post	
			reference (re	equired if GPS coordinates no	provided).	
Surfactants Used:				S Q	-	
Target Vegetation:			C			
		$\mathcal{O}$				
Section 3: Water Quality Measuremen	nts		N.	2		
		cm).		рН:		
		(), <u> </u>	0	prii		
l'emperature (°C):	urbidity	/ (NTU		Water spe	ed (ft/sec)*:	
* Water speed only required for flowing wa	iter					
Section 4: Site Observations (Refer to	o Defin	itions	Sheet and n	nark a response for e	ach field)	
Set Set	e (circl	e one)	: BG / Ever	nt / Post		
	Ν/Δ	No		YES, THE BENEFICI	AL USE IS ADVERSELY	
Adverse Incident		110	Children	AITEOILE	- DECORIBE:	
Floating Material						
Settleable Substances						
Suspended Material						
Bottom Deposits						
Tastes and Odors						
Water Coloration						
Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings						
Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths						
Aquatic Community Degradation						

# Figure 4: Aquatic Herbicide Field Monitoring & Sampling Form

For Client Use Only © 2022 Blankinship & Associates, Inc. All Rights Reserved

#### \*\* For each active ingredient, one Field Duplicate (FD) and one Field Blank (FB) must be collected per environmental setting (moving water vs static water) per year\*\*

# Field Blank (FB) Sample:

Prepare using distilled water at the monitoring site immediately prior to or immediately after the collection of the monitoring sample.

			-		
Section 1: Herbicide Application Information		Section 2: Monitoring Information			
Agency:			Monitoring	Date: Time:	
System Treated:			Sampler N	ame:	
Application Start Date:				A IN WIN	
			•.0		
Herbicides Applied:			J.		
Surfactants Used:			$-0^{-1}$	SOL	
Target Vegetation:			5		
		2	0-		
	xC		Q.	Alle	
Section 3: Water Quality Measureme	nts	<b>^</b>	5(		
	EC (US	/cm)		nH.	
DO (mg/L):		Ciii)		pri	
Temperature (°C):	Turbidit	y (NTC	J):	Water speed (ft/sec): <u>N/A</u>	
	)	2	•		
Section 4: Site Observations (Refer t	to Defii	nitions	Sheet and n	nark a response for each field)	
	N/A	No	UNKNOWN	YES, THE BENEFICIAL USE IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED. DESCRIBE.	
Adverse Incident	Х				
Floating Material	х				
Settleable Substances	Х				
Suspended Material	Х				
Bottom Deposits	Х				
Tastes and Odors	х				
Water Coloration	X				
Visible Films, Sheens, or Coatings	X				
Fungi, Slimes, or Objectionable Growths	X				
Aquatic Community Degradation	X				

#### **8.2.1 Sample Locations**

Sampling will include background, event, and post-event monitoring as follows:

**Background Monitoring:** In moving water, the background (BG) sample is collected upstream of the treatment area at the time of the application event, or in the treatment area within 24 hours prior to the start of the application.

**Event Monitoring:** The event monitoring (Event) sample for **flowing** water is collected immediately downstream of treatment area immediately after the application event, but after sufficient time has elapsed such that treated water would have exited the treatment area.

The Event sample for **non-flowing (static)** water is collected immediately outside the treatment area immediately after the application event, but after sufficient time has elapsed such that treated water would have exited the treatment area.

The location and timing for the collection of the Event sample may be based on several factors including, but not limited to algae/aquatic weed density and type, flow rates, discharge from the treatment site, size of the treatment area and duration of treatment.

**Post-Event Monitoring**: The post-event monitoring (Post) sample is collected within the treatment area within one week after the application, or when the treatment is deemed complete.

One full set of three samples (i.e., BG, Event and Post) will be collected during each treatment from the representative site(s) treated within the District according to the monitoring frequency and locations described earlier.

Additionally, one Field Duplicate (FD) and one Field Blank (FB) will be collected and submitted for analysis for each analyte, once per year. The FD and FB samples are typically collected during Event Monitoring if water is leaving the treatment area.

#### 8.3 Sample Collection

If the water depth is 6 feet or greater the sample will be collected at a depth of 3 feet. If the water depth is less than 6 feet, the sample will be collected at the approximate mid-depth. As necessary, an intermediary sampling device (e.g., Van-Dorn style sampler or long-handled sampling pole) will be used for locations that are difficult to access. Long-handled sampling poles with attached sampling container will be inverted before being lowered into the water to the desired sample depth, where it will be turned upright to collect the sample. Appropriate cleaning technique is discussed in Section 8.8.4.

#### **8.4 Field Measurements**

In conjunction with sample collection, temperature will be measured in the field. Turbidity, electrical conductivity, pH, and DO may be measured in the field using field meters as available or analyzed in the laboratory. Turbidity, pH, and DO meters are calibrated according to manufacturer's specifications at the recommended frequency and checked with a standard prior to each use. Conductivity meters are calibrated by the manufacturer and will be checked according to manufacturer's specifications with standards throughout the year (typically once per month) to evaluate instrument performance. If the

calibration is outside the manufacturer's specifications, the conductivity probe will be recalibrated. Calibration logs are maintained for all instruments to document calibration.

#### 8.5 Sample Preservation and Transportation

Samples may be collected directly into preserved containers, or collected in unpreserved containers, and preserved at the laboratory upon receipt if the analytical method requires preservation. Once a sample is collected and labeled it will immediately be placed in a dark, cold environment, typically a cooler with ice maintained at four degrees Celsius (°C). Delivery to the laboratory should occur as soon as practicable after sample collection.

#### 8.6 Sample Analysis

**Table 2** shows the constituents that each sample must be analyzed for. Note that chemical analysis isonly required for the active ingredient(s) used in the treatment.

		Typical			
	Analytical	Reporting Hold Time			Chemical
Analyte	Method	Limit	(Days)	Container	Preservative
Temperature <sup>1</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dissolved Oxygen <sup>1</sup>	360.1 or 360.2	0.0 mg/L	1	1L Amber Glass	None
Turbidity <sup>2</sup>	180.1	0.00 NTU	2	100 mL HDPE	None
Electrical Conductivity <sup>2</sup>	120.1	0 μS/cm	28	100 mL HDPE	None
pH <sup>2</sup>	150.1 or 150.2	1-14	Immediately	100 mL HDPE	None
*2,4-D	8151, 8150A, 615	0.5 μg/L	7	1L Amber Glass	None
*Diquat Dibromide	549	40 µg/L	7	500 mL Amber HDPE	Sulfuric acid
*Endothall	E / Q 1	40 ug/l	7	500 mL Amber Glass or	None or
LINGOLIIAII	540.1	40 µg/ L		125 mL Amber Glass	Hydrochloric acid
*Flumioxazin	HPLC	10 µg/L	14	500 mL Amber Glass	None
*Fluridone	SePro FasTest, HPLC	1 ug/L	7	30 ml Amber HDPE	None
*Glyphosate	547	0.5 μg/L	14	2 x 40 mL VOA	None
*Imazamox	HPLC	1.0 ug/L	14	2 x 40 mL VOA	None
*Imazapyr	532m	100 ug/L	14	1 L Amber Glass	None
Nonylphenol <sup>3</sup>	550.1m	0.5 μg/L	7	2 x 40 mL VOA	None
*Penoxsulam	532m	20 ug/L	7	1 L Amber Glass	None
*Triclopyr	8151, 8150A, 615	1.0 μg/L	7	2 x 40 mL VOA	None

# Table 2: Required Sample Analysis

#### Notes:

\* Signifies algaecide or aquatic herbicide active ingredient. Chemical analysis is only required for the active ingredient(s) used in treatment. Active ingredient analysis not required for algaecides containing sodium carbonate peroxyhydrate, peroxyacetic acid, and/or hydrogen peroxide. Analytical methods are taken from National Environmental Methods Index (2004).

<sup>1</sup>Field measured.

<sup>2</sup>May be field or laboratory measured.

<sup>3</sup>Required only when a nonlyphenol-based surfactant is used.

HPLC – High Performance Liquid Chromatography.

m – Modified extraction or analysis technique.

#### 8.7 Reporting Procedures

An annual report for each reporting period, from January 1 to December 31 will be prepared by March 1 of the following year and will be submitted to the appropriate RWQCB. In years when no algaecides or aquatic herbicides are used, a letter stating no applications will be sent to the appropriate RWQCB in lieu of an annual report.

The annual report will contain the following information as described in Attachment C of the Permit:

- 1. An Executive Summary discussing compliance or violation of the Permit and the effectiveness of the APAP; and
- 2. A summary of monitoring data, including the identification of water quality improvements or degradation as a result of algaecide or aquatic herbicide application.

The District will collect and retain all information on the previous reporting year. When requested by the Deputy Director or Executive Officer of the applicable RWQCB, the District will submit the annual information collected, including:

- An Executive Summary discussing compliance or violation of the Permit and the effectiveness of the APAP to reduce or prevent the discharge of pollutants associated with herbicide applications;
- 2. A summary of monitoring data, including the identification of water quality improvements or degradation as a result of algaecide or aquatic herbicide application, if appropriate, and recommendations for improvement to the APAP (including proposed BMPs) and monitoring program based on the monitoring results. All receiving water monitoring data shall be compared to applicable receiving water limitations and receiving water monitoring triggers;
- 3. Identification of BMPs and a discussion of their effectiveness in meeting the Permit requirements;
- 4. A discussion of BMP modifications addressing violations of the Permit;
- 5. A map showing the location of each treatment area;
- 6. Types and amounts of aquatic herbicides used at each application event during each application
- 7. Information on surface area and/or volume of treatment area and any other information used to calculate dosage, concentration, and quantity of each aquatic herbicide used;
- 8. Sampling results shall indicate the name of the sampling agency or organization, detailed sampling location information (including latitude and longitude or township/range/section if available), detailed map or description of each sampling area (address, cross roads, etc.), collection date, name of constituent/parameter and its concentration detected, minimum levels, method detection limits for each constituent analysis, name or description of water body sampled, and a comparison with applicable water quality standards, description of analytical QA/quality control plan. Sampling results shall be tabulated so that they are readily discernible; and
- 9. Summary of AHALs.

The District will report to the SWRCB and appropriate RWQCB any noncompliance, including any unexpected or unintended effect of an algaecide or aquatic herbicide that may endanger health or the environment. The Twenty-Four Hour Report will be provided orally, by way of a phone call, to the SWRCB and appropriate RWQCB within 24 hours from the time the District becomes aware of any noncompliance. The Twenty-Four Hour Report will include the following information:

- 1. The caller's name and telephone number;
- 2. Applicator name and mailing address;
- 3. Waste Discharge Identification (WDID) number;
- 4. How and when the District became aware of the noncompliance;
- 5. Description of the location of the noncompliance;
- 6. Description of the noncompliance identified and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) pesticide registration number for each product the District applied in the area of the noncompliance; and
- 7. Description of the steps that the District has taken or will take to correct, repair, remedy, cleanup, or otherwise address any adverse effects.

If the District is unable to notify the SWRCB and appropriate RWQCB within 24 hours, the District will do so as soon as possible and provide a rationale for why the District was unable to provide notification of noncompliance within 24 hours.

In addition to the Twenty-Four Hour Report, the District will provide a written submission within five (5) days of the time the District becomes aware of the noncompliance. The Five-Day Written Report will contain the following information:

- Date and time the District contacted the State Water Board and the appropriate Regional Water Board notifying of the noncompliance and any instructions received from the State and/or Regional Water Board; information required to be provided in Section D.1 (24-Hour Reporting);
- A description of the noncompliance and its cause, including exact date and time and species affected, estimated number of individual and approximate size of dead or distressed organisms (other than the pests to be eliminated);
- 3. Location of incident, including the names of any waters affected and appearance of those waters (sheen, color, clarity, etc.);
- 4. Magnitude and scope of the affected area (e.g., aquatic square area or total stream distance affected);
- 5. Algaecide and aquatic herbicide application rate, intended use site (e.g., banks, above, or direct to water), method of application, and name of algaecide and herbicide product, description of algaecide and herbicide ingredients, and U.S. EPA registration number;
- Description of the habitat and the circumstances under which the noncompliance activity occurred (including any available ambient water data for aquatic algaecides and aquatic herbicides applied);
- 7. Laboratory tests performed, if any, and timing of tests. Provide a summary of the test results within five days after they become available;
- 8. If applicable, explain why the District believes the noncompliance could not have been caused by exposure to the algaecides or aquatic herbicides from the District's application; and
- 9. Actions to be taken to prevent recurrence of adverse incidents.

The Five Day Written Report will be submitted within five (5) days of the time the District becomes aware of the noncompliance unless SWRCB staff or Regional Water Board staff waive the above described report if an oral report has been received within 24 hours.

#### 8.8 Sampling Methods and Guidelines

The purpose of this section is to present methods and guidelines for the collection and analysis of samples necessary to meet the APAP objective of assessing adverse impacts, if any, to beneficial uses of water bodies treated with algaecides and aquatic herbicides.

This section describes the techniques, equipment, analytical methods, and quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures for sample collection and analysis. Guidance for the preparation of this chapter included: NPDES Storm Water Sampling Guidance Document (USEPA 1992); Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans (USEPA 1980); and U.S. Geological Survey, National Field Manual for the Collection of Water Quality Data (USGS 1995).

#### 8.8.1 Surfacewater Sampling Techniques

As discussed in Section 8.3, if the water depth is 6 feet or greater the sample will be collected at a depth of 3 feet, if the water depth is less than 6 feet the sample will be collected at the approximate middepth. As necessary, an intermediary sampling device (e.g., Van-Dorn style sampler or long-handled sampling pole) will be used for locations that are difficult to access. Long-handled sampling poles with attached sampling container will be inverted before being lowered into the water to the desired sample depth, where it will be turned upright to collect the sample. Appropriate cleaning technique is discussed in Section 8.8.4.

During collection, the samples will be collected in a manner that minimizes the amount of suspended sediment and debris in the sample. Surface water grab samples will be collected directly by the sample container, or by an intermediary container in the event that the sample container cannot be adequately or safely used. Intermediary samplers will be either poly (plastic/HDPE), stainless steel or glass. Any container that will be reused between sites will be washed thoroughly and triple rinsed before collection of the next sample, see Section 8.8.4. Alternatively, disposable poly or glass intermediary sample containers can be used.

#### **8.8.2 Sample Containers**

Clean, empty sample containers with caps will be supplied in protective cardboard cartons or ice chests by the primary laboratory. The containers will be certified clean by either the laboratory or the container supplier. The sampler will utilize the appropriate sample container as specified by the laboratory for each sample type. Sample container type, holding time, and appropriate preservatives are listed in **Table 2**. Each container will be affixed with a label indicating a discrete sample number for each sample location. The label will also indicate the date and time of sampling and the sampler's name.

#### 8.8.3 Sample Preservation and Filtering

As discussed in Section 8.3, samples may either be collected with bottles containing the correct preservative(s), or collected in unpreserved bottles and preserved upon receipt at the analytical lab. If filtration is required, it must be done prior to sample preservation. After collection, samples will be refrigerated at approximately 4°C, stored in a dark place, and transported to the analytical laboratory. Refer to **Table 2**.

#### 8.8.4 Sampling Equipment Cleaning

In the event that sampling equipment will be used in more than one location, the equipment will be thoroughly cleaned with a non-phosphate cleaner, triple-rinsed with distilled water, and then rinsed once with the water being sampled prior to its first use at a new sample collection location.

#### 8.8.5 Sample Packing and Shipping

All samples are to be packed and transported the day the samples are collected to provide ample time for samples to be analyzed within the required holding time.

Ice will be included in coolers containing samples that require temperature control. Samples will be packaged in the following manner:

- 1. Sample container stickers will be checked for secure attachment to each sample container.
- 2. The sample containers will be placed in the cooler. Bubble-wrap, suitable foam padding, or newspaper will be placed between sample containers to protect the sample containers from breakage during shipment and handling.
- 3. The Chain of Custody (COC) will be placed inside a plastic bag and placed inside the cooler, typically taped to the underside of the lid. The COC will indicate each unique sample identification name, time and place of sample collection, the sample collector, the required analysis, turn-around-time, and location to which data will be reported.
- 4. The cooler will then be readied for pick-up by a courier or delivered directly to the laboratory.

#### 8.9 Field Sampling Operations

#### 8.9.1 Field Logbook

A 3-ring binder or bound logbook will be maintained by members of the sampling team to provide a record of sample location, significant events, observations, and measurements taken during sampling. Observations and measurements should be supplemented with pictures of site conditions at the time of sampling if possible. Field logbooks are intended to provide sufficient data and observations to enable project team members to reconstruct events that occurred during the sampling. The field logbook entries will be legible, factual, detailed, and objective.

When recording observations in the field book, the sampling team will note the presence or absence of:

- 1. Floating or suspended matter;
- 2. Discoloration;
- 3. Bottom deposits;
- 4. Aquatic life;
- 5. Visible films, sheens, or coatings;
- 6. Fungi, slimes, or objectionable growths; and
- 7. Potential nuisance conditions.

See **Figure 4** for the forms to be used to record relevant field data when sampling.

#### 8.9.2 Alteration of Sampling Techniques

It is possible that actual field conditions may require a modification of the procedures outlined herein. Specifically, water levels, weather, other environmental parameters and hazards including stream flow, rainfall, and irrigation water use may pose access and/or sampling problems. In such instances, variations from standard procedures and planned sampling locations and frequencies will be documented by means of appropriate entry into the field logbook.

#### 8.9.3 Flow Estimation

A flow meter calibrated according to the manufacturer's directions will be placed as close to the center of the stream or creek as possible and a reading taken in feet per second (ft/sec). Alternatively, the time a common floating object (branch, leaf, etc.) travels a known distance will be estimated and represented in ft/sec. A minimum distance of approximately 25 feet will be used. Flow estimation measurements will be made for all moving water sampling locations.

#### 8.9.4 Chain-of-Custody (COC)

The COC record will be employed as physical evidence of sample custody. The sampler will complete a COC record to accompany each sample shipment from the field to the laboratory. The COC will specify: time, date, location of sample collection, specific and unique sample number, requested analysis, sampler name, required turn-around-time, time and date of sample transaction between field and laboratory staff, preservative, if any, and name of receiving party at the laboratory.

Corrections to the COC will be made by drawing a line through, initialing, and dating the error, and entering the correct information. Erasures are not permitted.

Upon receipt of the samples, laboratory personnel will check to confirm that the contents of the ice chest(s) are accurately described by the COC. Upon verification of the number and type of samples and the requested analysis, a laboratory representative will sign the COC, indicating receipt of the samples.

The COC record form will be completed in duplicate. Upon sample delivery, the original copy will be left with the laboratory and a copy will be kept by the sampler, three-hole punched, and placed in the field logbook.

#### 8.9.5 Sample Label

The label will contain information on the specific project (i.e. Reclamation District 1601), the unique individual sample ID (i.e. Sevenmile Slough – BG), the date and time the sample was collected, and the name of the sampler (i.e. Ricky Carter).

Prior to sampling, a waterproof label will be completed with waterproof ink and will be affixed to the appropriate container.

#### 8.9.6 Corrections to Documentation

Documents will not be destroyed or thrown away, even if they are illegible or contain inaccuracies that require a replacement or correction. If an error is made on a document used by an individual, that individual will make corrections by making a line through the error and entering the correct information. The erroneous information will not be obliterated. Corrections will be initialed and dated.

#### 8.9.7 Document Control

A central file location will be established and used to store documentation such as the filed logbook and laboratory data.

#### 8.9.8 Sample Kit

Prior to departing to the field to collect samples, the following equipment will be prepared for use:

- Laboratory-supplied sampling bottles (one set for each sample to be collected plus spares, plus QA/QC samples)
- Sample labels (one for each sample to be collected plus spares)
- Sharpie<sup>®</sup> Pen or other permanent, water-proof ink marker
- Chain of Custody forms
- Field data logbook
- Flow meter (optional for moving water applications)
- Zip lock style bags for paperwork
- Non-phosphate cleaner (i.e. Liqui-Nox<sup>®</sup>)
- Deionized or distilled water
- Ice or blue ice packs
- Clear Mailing Tape
- Cooler for samples
- Grab pole or Van-Dorn style sampler
- Gloves
- Rubber boots or waders
- Smartphone, stopwatch
- Camera

#### 8.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

The purpose of QA/QC is to assure and control the quality of data generated during sample collection and analysis as described earlier in this document. QA/QC are measured in a variety of ways, as described below.

#### 8.10.1 Precision

Precision is a measure of the reproducibility of measurements under a given set of conditions. It is a quantitative measure of the variability of a group of measurements compared to the average value of the group and is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD). Sources of error in precision (imprecision) can be related to both laboratory and field techniques. Specifically, lack of precision is

caused by inconsistencies in instrument setting, measurement and sampling techniques, and record keeping.

Laboratory precision is estimated by generating analytical laboratory matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample results and calculating RPD. In general, laboratory RPD values of less than 25% will be considered acceptable.

Field precision is estimated by collecting FDs in the field and calculating RPD. In general, field RPD values of less than 35% will be considered acceptable. Refer to the discussion of FDs in Section 8.10.5.

#### 8.10.2 Accuracy

Accuracy is a measure of how close data are to their true values and is expressed as percent recovery (%R), which is the difference between the mean and the true value expressed as a percentage of the true value. Sources of error (inaccuracy) are the sampling process, field contamination, preservation, handling, sample matrix effects, sample preparation, analytical techniques, and instrument error.

Laboratory accuracy is estimated using reference standards, MS and MSD samples. Acceptable accuracy is generally between 75 and 125%, and varies based on the analytical laboratory and analysis. Refer to the earlier discussion of MS and MSD.

#### 8.10.3 Completeness

Completeness is defined as the percentage of measurements made which are judged to be valid measurements. The completeness objective is that the sufficiently valid data is generated to allow for submittal to the SWRCB and RWQCB. Completeness will be assessed by comparing the number of valid sample results to the number of samples collected. The objective for completeness is  $\geq$  80 %.

#### 8.10.4 Representativeness

Representativeness refers to a sample or group of samples that reflects the predominant characteristics of the media at the sampling point. The objective in addressing representativeness is to assess whether the information obtained during the sampling and analysis represents the actual site conditions.

# 8.10.5 Field Duplicate

The purpose of a FD is to quantify the precision, or reproducibility, of the field sampling technique. It involves the duplication of the technique used for a particular field sample collection method and the subsequent comparison of the initial and duplicate values. This comparison is measured as the RPD. RPD is calculated as follows:

RPD = [(Sample1 – Sample2) / (Average of Samples 1 and 2)] X 100

An acceptable field RPD value is  $\leq$  35%.

The FD is collected at the same time as the actual field sample and one FD per year will be collected per active herbicidal ingredient.

# 8.10.6 Field Blank

The purpose of the FB is to assure that the field sampling technique, equipment, or equipment cleaning technique or materials do not impart a false positive or negative result during the collection of the sample. A FB will be prepared with distilled water and allowed to come into contact with the sampling device in a manner identical to the actual sample. The only acceptable values for analytes in the FB is less than the detection limit for the compounds of interest, or an expected, previously determined, background value.

The FB will be collected at the same time as the actual field sample and one FB per year will be collected per active herbicidal ingredient.

# 8.10.7 Laboratory Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Laboratory precision and accuracy will be monitored by a series of laboratory-generated quality control samples. As long as sufficient sample volume is collected and submitted to the laboratory, no additional effort is required by field activities to generate laboratory quality control samples. Each set of field samples will have associated with it one each from the following set of laboratory quality control samples.

#### 8.10.7.1 Method Blank

The purpose of the method blank (MB) is to assure that the analytical technique does not impart a false positive result during the preparation or analysis of the sample. An MB will be prepared by the laboratory from high purity distilled or deionized water. The only acceptable values for analytes in the MB are zero or an expected, previously determined, background values.

#### 8.10.7.2 Matrix Spike

The purpose of a MS is to quantify accuracy and to assure that the analytical technique does not impart a false negative or positive result during the preparation or analysis of the sample. It involves the introduction of the analyte (or an analyte surrogate) of interest into the actual sample matrix and then quantitating it.

The amount detected divided by the amount added to the matrix is expressed as %R. Acceptable values of %R range from 75% to 125%. %R is calculated as follows:

%R = [(Spike Amount Detected - Sample Value) / Amount Spiked] x 100

# 8.10.7.3 Matrix Spike Duplicate

The purpose of an MSD is to quantify laboratory precision. An acceptable RPD is less than or equal to 25%. The MSD involves duplication of the MS resulting in two data points from which RPD is calculated as follows:

RPD = [(MS – MSD) / (Average of MS and MSD)] X 100

#### 8.10.8 Data Validation

Data validation will use data generated from the analytical laboratory and the field. References that can be used to assist in data validation include USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (USEPA 1994) and USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (USEPA 1999).

The purpose of data validation is to confirm that data collected are of sufficient quality for inclusion in reports to the RWQCB. In order to serve this purpose, the following information must be available in order to evaluate data validity:

- 1. Date of sample collection required to uniquely identify sample and holding time.
- 2. Location of samples required to identify sample.
- 3. Laboratory QA/QC procedures required to assess analytical accuracy, precision, and sample integrity. A laboratory QA/QC sample set consists of a MS, a MSD, and a MB. A laboratory QA/QC sample set will be analyzed by the laboratory for each field sample batch. Sufficient sample volume and number will be supplied to the laboratory in order to prepare and evaluate the laboratory QA/QC sample set.
- 4. Analytical methods required to assess appropriateness and acceptability of analytical method used.
- 5. Detection limits required to assess lower limit of parameter identification.
- 6. Holding times, preservation, and dates of extraction and analysis required to assess if a sample was extracted and analyzed within the specified time limits and if a sample was stored at the appropriate temperature.
- 7. Field QA/QC procedures required to assess field precision and sample integrity. A field QA/QC sample set consists of FB and FD samples. A field QA/QC sample set will be analyzed by the laboratory for one sampling event per year. Sufficient sample volume and number will be collected in the field and supplied to each laboratory in order to prepare and evaluate the field QA/QC sample set.

#### 8.10.9 Data Qualification

Data collected for compliance with the Permit will be qualified through the Analytical Lab Validation process described in Section 8.10.7. This process requires that all data has been thoroughly reviewed and qualified as valid. During the data validation process, data qualifiers will be used to classify sample data. The following qualifiers will be used:

A - Acceptable. The data have satisfied each of the requirements and are quantitatively acceptable (i.e., valid) and will be used in reports.

R - Reject. Data not valid. This qualifier will be used for samples that cannot be uniquely identified by date of collection or sample location or that fail holding time or, detection limit requirements. Invalid data will not be presented in reports submitted to the RWQCB.

#### 8.10.10 Corrective Action

If previously described criteria for valid data are not met, then corrective action as follows will be taken:

- 1. The laboratory will be asked to check their QA/QC data and calculations associated with the sample in question. If the error is not found and resolved, then:
  - a. The extracts or the actual samples, which will be saved until the data are validated, will be reanalyzed by the laboratory if they are within holding time limitations. These new results will be compared with the previous results. If the error is not found and resolved, then:
  - b. If field analytical equipment is used, then calibration records will be reviewed. If the error is not found, then:
  - c. The sampling procedure and sample preparation will be re-checked and verified. If the procedures appear to be in order and the error is not resolved, then:
  - d. The data will be deemed invalid and not used.
- 2. Upon discovery of the source of an error, every attempt will be made to address the cause of the error and remedy the problem.

# 8.10.11 Data Reporting

The results of sampling and analysis will be summarized in the Annual Report. The data will be tabulated so that they are readily discernible.

# **Element 9: Procedures to Prevent Sample Contamination**

Sample collection will not be done in close proximity to application equipment and preferably upwind. Sampling will be done in a manner that prevents contact with algaecide or aquatic pesticide application equipment, containers or personal protective equipment (PPE). Care will be taken by samplers to minimize contact with any treated water or vegetation.

In the event that sampling equipment will be used in more than one location, the equipment will be thoroughly cleaned with a non-phosphate cleaner, triple-rinsed uncontaminated water, and then rinsed once with the water being sampled prior to its first use at a new sample collection location, as described in Section 8.8.4. Gloves will be changed between sites.

# **Element 10: Description of BMPs**

The District employs the following BMPs to allow for the safe, efficient and efficacious use of algaecides and aquatic herbicides.

# **10.1 Measures to Prevent Spills and Spill Containment in the Event of a Spill**

Applicators take care when mixing and loading algaecides and aquatic herbicides and adjuvants. All label language is followed to allow safe handling and loading of algaecides and aquatic herbicides. Application equipment is regularly checked and maintained to identify and minimize the likelihood of leaks

developing or failure that would lead to a spill. If possible, algaecides and aquatic herbicides will be mixed and loaded in the District's yard before leaving for the application site(s).

If algaecides or aquatic herbicides are spilled, they will be prevented from entering any water bodies to the extent practicable. District staff is trained to contain any spilled material and are familiar with the use of absorbent materials such as kitty litter, "pigs" and "pillows". Spills will be cleaned up according to label instructions, and all equipment used to remove spills will be properly contained and disposed of or decontaminated, as appropriate. Applicators will report spills as required by District policy and in a manner consistent with local, state and federal requirements.

# **10.2 Measures to Ensure Appropriate Use Rate**

Application of algaecides and aquatic herbicides will be conducted individuals who are, or are supervised by individuals who are considered qualified applicators by DPR. Examples of qualified staff includes those who have a Qualified Applicator Certificate (QAC) or Qualified Applicator License (QAL). Holders of QAC or QAL, or those under their direct supervision make applications recommended by the PCA. These applicators have knowledge of proper equipment loading, nozzle selection, calibration, and operation so that spills are minimized, precise application rates are made according to the label, and only target algae or vegetation are treated. Calibration allows the applicator to check the application rate to confirm that the correct quantity and rate of algaecide or aquatic herbicide is applied.

# **10.2.1 Site Scouting**

Prior to treatment, the District's PCA and/or qualified staff scout sites to evaluate the extent to which acceptable algae or aquatic weed thresholds have been exceeded. Thresholds are based on conveyance system capacity, flow maintenance, and ability to deliver irrigation water.

If a location is deemed to have exceeded a threshold or given algae or aquatic weed population is anticipated to exceed a threshold based on site and weather conditions, historic aquatic weed growth, or other information, an algaecide or aquatic herbicide application is considered. If the application can be made without negatively impacting the water quality, then an application is made.

# **10.2.2 Written Recommendations Prepared by PCA**

Prior to application, a PCA licensed by DPR and/or qualified District staff scout the area(s) to be treated, makes a positive identification of pest(s) present, checks applicable product label(s) for control efficacy, and in collaboration with District staff, the PCA prepares a written recommendation, including rates of application, and any warnings or conditions that limit the application so that non-target flora and fauna are not adversely impacted. Licensed PCAs must complete 40 hours of continuing education every 2 years to stay licensed, and therefore are up-to-date on the latest techniques for pest control.

# **10.2.3 Applications Made According to Label**

All algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications are made according to the product label in accordance with regulations of the U.S. EPA, CalEPA, California Occupational Safety and Health Administration

(OSHA), DPR, and the local Agricultural Commissioner. The District's PCA and DPR-licensed Qualified Applicator Certificate (QAC) or Qualified Applicator License (QAL) holders regularly monitor updates and amendments to the label so that applications are in accordance with label directions. Licensed QALs and QACs must complete 20 hours of Continuing Education every 2 years to stay licensed, and therefore are up-to-date on the latest techniques for pest control.

# **10.2.4 Applications Made by Qualified Personnel**

As appropriate, consistent with applicable regulations, the District will utilize QALs, QACs or District staff under the supervision of QALs or QACs to make applications or supervise applications recommended by the PCA. These District staff have knowledge of proper equipment loading, nozzle selection, calibration, and operation so that spills are minimized, precise application rates are made according to the label, and only target plants are treated.

# **10.3** The Discharger's plan in educating its staff and herbicide applicators on how to avoid any potential adverse effects from the herbicide applications

See information above on the Continuing Education requirements of District staff responsible for selection and application of algaecides and aquatic herbicides.

# **10.4 Application Coordination to Minimize Impact of Application on Water Users**

As required by the algaecide and aquatic herbicide label, water users potentially affected by any water use restrictions will be notified prior to an application being made. As necessary, gates, weirs, etc. will be closed to prevent discharge of residual algaecide or aquatic herbicides.

# **10.5 Description of Measures to Prevent Fish Kills**

It is important to acknowledge that the use of aquatic herbicides and algaecides, even when used according to label instructions, may result in unavoidable fish kills. Nonetheless, measures will be taken to reduce the likelihood of fish kills as described below. Generally speaking, the concentration of residual aquatic herbicides and algaecides (i.e., the concentration of the aquatic herbicide or algaecide present after the treatment is complete) is not sufficiently high to result in fish kills.

# 10.5.1 Applications Made According to Label

All aquatic herbicide applications are made according to the product label in accordance with regulations of the U.S. EPA, Cal-EPA, DPR, OSHA and the local Agricultural Commissioner. Precautions on the product label to prevent fish kills will be followed. For example, limitations on the surface water area treated will be followed to prevent dead algae or aquatic weeds from accumulating and then decaying and subsequently depressing the DO level. Depressed DO may adversely impact fish populations.

#### **10.5.2 Written Recommendations Prepared by PCA**

Prior to application, a PCA licensed by DPR and/or District staff scouts the area to be treated, makes a positive identification of pest(s) present, checks applicable product label(s) for control efficacy, and in collaboration with District staff, the PCA prepares a written recommendation, including rates of application, and any warnings or conditions that limit the application so that fish are not adversely impacted.

#### **10.5.3 Applications Made by Qualified Personnel**

As appropriate, consistent with applicable regulations, the District will utilize QACs, QALs, or District staff under the supervision of QALs or QACs to make applications or supervise applications recommended by the PCA. These applicators have knowledge of proper equipment loading, nozzle selection, calibration, and operation so that spills are minimized, precise application rates are made according to the label, and only target algae or vegetation are treated. Calibration ensures that the correct quantity and rate of herbicide is applied.

# **Element 11: Examination of Possible Alternatives**

#### **11.1 Evaluation of Other Management Options**

Treatment of algae and aquatic weeds is determined by the application IPM. For example, if a population of aquatic weeds equals or exceeds a threshold, an algaecide or aquatic herbicide application is made. Thresholds are met when aquatic weeds or algae cause problems, typically associated with capacity, flow impediment, sediment build-up, or issues with delivering irrigation water.

Algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications may also be made prior to threshold exceedance. For example, based on predicted growth rate and density, weather, water availability, and historical records and experience, aquatic weeds may reasonably be predicted to cause future problems. Accordingly, they may be treated soon after emergence. Even though aquatic weeds may not be an immediate problem at this phase, treating them before they mature reduces the amount of algaecide and aquatic herbicide needed because the younger aquatic weeds are more susceptible and there is less plant mass to target. Selection of appropriate algaecides and aquatic herbicides and rate of application is done based on the identification of the algae or aquatic weed and the appearance of that algae or aquatic weed on the product label.

#### 11.1.1 No Action

As feasible, this technique is used. For example, consistent with the IPM program used by the District, a threshold is typically reached prior to treatment. Prior to reaching a threshold, no control is considered.

#### **11.1.2 Prevention**

#### Habitat Modification

After the removal of non-native terrestrial and emergent invasive species, the introduction and reestablishment of native species has been successful along the banks or margins of streams and rivers in some cases. See discussion below under *Native Species Establishment*.

The District will also consider other habitat modifying techniques appropriate for localized areas; for example, dredging. In areas where sedimentation has significantly impacted the capacity of the waterbody, dredging can increase the water volume, and remove nutrient-containing sediment. Additionally, dredging sites like the District's shallow drainage channels reduces habitat available to emergent vegetation like cattails.

#### Native Species Establishment

No appropriate submersed aquatic native plants have been found to establish within lakes or reservoirs to out compete aquatic weed species and not create similar or other operational problems. As such, submersed aquatic vegetation in the District may need to be controlled using other approaches to maintain the aquatic weed density tolerances established by the District.

After the removal of emergent non-native or invasive species, the introduction and re-establishment of native species has been successful along the banks or margins of lakes, streams and rivers, such as on the District's outside levees. This technique provides competition for non-desirable species, creates habitat, and may reduce the long-term need for emergent aquatic weed abatement. Limitations to this approach include availability of suitable native species, availability of labor to plant native species, and irrigate and cultivate until the native plant stand is established, and safe access to banks for work crews. Plant characteristics such as growth patterns and the potential to invade areas where they are not wanted must be considered as well as the timing for successful planting of native plants. This technique is expensive, takes multiple years to grow-in and establish, may be subject to additional regulatory approval depending on the site and methods (i.e., California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Corps of Engineers, etc.), and may not be feasible in all areas. Further, establishment of native vegetation like blue elderberry shrubs may impair efforts at aquatic weed control due to restrictions on what can be done to or around them as they could be potential habitat for the Valley elderberry longhorn beetle.

#### **11.1.3 Mechanical or Physical Methods**

#### Mechanical Removal

Mechanical removal in the District's conveyance system requires various methods including the use of hand or motor-driven cutting tools, or removing weeds with an excavator.

Generally, these techniques are very labor intensive per unit acre or length of water treated. Mechanical removal places personnel at risk of general water, boating, slip, trip and fall hazards, poisonous wildlife, drowning, risks the spilling of motor oil and fuel, and can increase air pollution. The cost per area of mechanical removal is significantly higher than the cost of labor, product and equipment of the application of aquatic herbicides. The increased cost of mechanical aquatic weed abatement does not include the cost of the aforementioned risks (pollution abatement, workman's compensation claims, etc.).

In some instances, the use of mechanical techniques may be necessary when the use of algaecides or aquatic herbicides is not practical, or vegetation is not at an appropriate growth stage. Blankinship & Associates estimates that mechanical removal is 10 to 25 times more expensive than using chemical controls. This additional expense does not include the cost for disposal or for obtaining permits.

Environmental impacts due to the use of mechanical techniques include the creation of water-borne sediment and turbidity due to people and equipment working in the water. This suspended sediment can adversely affect aquatic species by lowering DO and preventing light penetration. Disturbing sediment or conveyance banks may cause additional problems including, but not limited to, new areas for aquatic weed establishment, fragmentation and re-establishment of aquatic weeds, and siltation. Many species the District hopes to control can be spread through fragmentation, and mechanical control has the potential to increase the distribution of the problem vegetation. Waste must be spoiled on-site, and can create nuisance conditions as it dries out.

Mechanical removal has been, and will continue to be used by the District, as feasible, to remove vegetation in some areas. While effective in the short-term, regrowth or reemergence of vegetation is common.

#### Floating Booms

Floating plants like water hyacinth have the potential to block flow in District's drainage or irrigation canals, and block flow to siphons or pumps. Installation of floating booms at select locations set back in front of pumps, siphons or along intake canals to block the movement of floating vegetation to reduce or prevent clogs and blocking of water flow. Booms also provide the opportunity to treat concentrated mats of floating aquatic vegetation with aquatic herbicides or remove plants with an excavator.

#### Controlled Burns

This option is only suitable for some types of emergent and terrestrial weeds, and is not appropriate for submerged aquatic vegetation. This option is generally not a suitable alternative control method for cattail or willow management in the irrigation conveyances maintained by the District. Some drainage channels in the District are drawn down and dry out in the fall, allowing cattails to be managed with controlled burns in some years. However, controlled burns create air quality concerns and have not been feasible in most years due to restrictions by the air quality management district. Concern about a controlled burn spreading from the conveyance facilities into the peat found throughout the island further constrain where burns may be feasible for the District.

# Grazing

This option is most suitable for emergent and terrestrial weeds, and is not suitable for submerged aquatic weeds or algae. Impacts to water quality from animal feces, increases in turbidity, nutrients, and bank erosion, and impacts to desirable species make this option unfeasible in some cases. The cost of hiring grazing animals is also generally more costly than chemical control alternatives. The nature of the inner conveyance system, presence of on-going farming activities, and lack of fencing limits where grazing could be implemented within the system. Grazing is considered and frequently implemented on the Districts outer levees for management of terrestrial and some emergent weeds.

# *Tilling and/or Discing*

This option is not suitable for the control of aquatic or riparian vegetation within District conveyances because tilling or discing exposes erodible soils. The District generally avoids tilling and discing within its conveyance system so as not to encourage erosion of banks and sedimentation. However, tilling and incorporation of excavated material from District conveyances has shown to be effective at using spoiled material on the canal access roads. The District will consider the use of tilling and/or discing and incorporation of mechanically removed material at locations in the inner conveyance systems, as feasible.

# **11.1.4 Cultural Methods**

Cultural methods used to reduce the amount of aquatic herbicides used include modifying the timing of algaecide and aquatic herbicide and non-herbicide controls to prevent plants from reaching reproductive growth stages. Another cultural method is making applications before the density of algae or aquatic vegetation is high enough to require higher algaecide or aquatic herbicide application rates or additional applications to maintain algae or aquatic weed populations below threshold levels.

# **11.1.5 Biological Control Agents**

Goats and sheep are often used for grazing in and along riparian areas and levees. See discussion above in Section 11.1.3, *Grazing*.

# **11.1.6 Algaecides and Aquatic Herbicides;**

The selection of and decision to use an algaecide or aquatic herbicide is based on the recommendation of a PCA in collaboration with District staff. The PCA considers a variety of control options that may include mechanical and cultural techniques that alone or in combination with chemical controls are the most efficacious and protective of the environment.

Evaluating alternative control techniques is part of the District's IPM approach; therefore an alternative treatment may be selected as part its program. Alternative control techniques and detailed description of each of these is presented in Section 11.1. In general, alternative control techniques are expensive, labor intensive, not as effective, and may cause temporary water quality degradation. The equipment and labor required to perform these techniques is not always readily available as it is required during the summer months that is typically a busy general maintenance period for the District. This may cause delays in removal or sporadic plant material activity leading to increased plant growth and subsequently higher plant material removal cost.

The quantity of algaecide and aquatic herbicide required for an application is determined by a PCA that has followed the label directions in making a recommendation. The rate at which an algaecide and aquatic herbicide is used is highly variable and depends on the type, time of year, location, and density and type of aquatic weeds, water presence, and goal of the application. All these factors are considered by the PCA prior to making a recommendation for an application.

#### 11.2 Using the Least Intrusive Method of Aquatic Herbicide Application

The District uses a variety of application methods including specialized mechanized vehicles (trucks, allterrain vehicles, trailers, etc.) and personnel with backpack sprayers to make algaecide and aquatic herbicide applications. Combined with the need to hold, safely transport and properly apply algaecides and aquatic herbicides, the District's techniques are the least intrusive as feasibly possible.

Please refer to **Table 1** for application methods.

#### **11.3** Applying a decision matrix concept to the choice of the most appropriate formulation.

As previously stated, a PCA and/or qualified District staff scouts the area to be treated, makes a positive identification of pest(s) present, checks appropriate algaecide and aquatic herbicide product label(s) for control efficacy, and then the PCA prepares a written recommendation. The written recommendation includes rates of application, and any warnings or conditions that limit the application.

The PCA may also recommend that an adjuvant be used to enhance the efficacy of the algaecide or aquatic herbicide.

# References

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), M. Anastassiadou, M. Arena, D. Auteri, A. Brancato, L. Bura, L. Carrasco Cabrera, E. Chaideftou, A. Chiusolo, F. Crivellente, C. De Lentdecker, M. Egsmose, G. Fait, L. Greco, A. Ippolito, F. Istace, S. Jarrah, D. Kardassi, R. Leuschner, A. Lostia, C. Lythgo, O. Magrans, I. Mangas, I. Miron, T. Molnar, L. Padovani, J.M. Parra Morte, R. Pedersen, H. Reich, M. Santos, R. Sharp, C. Szentes, A. Terron, M. Tiramani, B. Vagenende, and L. Villamar-Bouza. 2020. "Updated Peer Review of the Pesticide Risk Assessment of the Active Substance Flumioxazin." EFSA Journal 18(9):6246, 22 pp.

https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6246

McLaren/Hart Environmental Engineering Corporation. 1995. Use of the Registered Aquatic Herbicide Fluridone (Sonar) and the Use of the Registered Aquatic Herbicide Glyphosate (Rodeo and Accord) in the State of New York - Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement. (prepared for Dow-Elanco and Monsanto).

National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI) 2004. Available: <u>http://www.nemi.gov</u>

Provost & Pritchard Consulting Group. 2012. James Irrigation District Map.

- RWQCB, Central Valley Region (RWQCB). 2019. The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region. The Sacramento River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin. Fifth Edition. Revised February 2019.
- SWRCB. 2005. The Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries in California.
- SWRCB. 2013. Statewide General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Residual Aquatic Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Algae and Aquatic Weed Control Applications, Water Quality Order No. 2013-0002-DWQ. Available: <u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/npdes/docs/aquatic/weedcontrol/wp</u> 2013\_002dwq.pdf
- USEPA. 1980. Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans.
- USEPA. 1992. NPDES Storm Water Sampling Guidance Document.
- USEPA. 1993-A. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Glyphosate; EPA 738-R-93-014. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 1993-B. R.E.D. Facts Peroxy Compounds; EPA-738-F-93-026. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 1994. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review.
- USEPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Diquat Dibromide; EPA 728-R-95-016. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.

- USEPA. 1998. Registration Eligibility Decision (RED) Triclopyr; EPA-738-F-98-007. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 1999. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review.
- USEPA. 2002. Biopesticides Registration Action Document Sodium Carbonate Peroxyhydrate. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division.
- USEPA. 2004. Report for the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Tolerance Reassessment Progress and Risk Management Decision (TRED) for Fluridone. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2005-A. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) 2,4-D; EPA 738-R-05-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2005-B. Reregistration Eligibility Decision Endothall; EPA 738-R-05-008. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2006. Reregistration Eligibility Decision Imazapyr. EPA 738-R-06-007. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Pesticide Programs.
- USEPA. 2007. Ecological Risk Assessment: Section 3, New Uses on Turn and for Control of Aquatic Vegetation in Aquatic Environments, Penoxsulam. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Fate and Effects Division.
- USEPA. 2008. Memorandum to James Tompkins, Risk Manager, Herbicide Branch, Registration Division: Ecological risk assessment evaluating Imazamox (PC 129171) for the proposed new use for the control of vegetation in and around aquatic and noncropland sites. From Ibrahim Abdel-Saheb and Michael Davy, Environmental Risk Branch II, Environmental Fate and Effects Division. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances.
- USGS. 1995. U.S. Geological Survey, National Field Manual for the Collection of Water Quality Data.